

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/887,496	06/22/2001	Partha S. Banerjee	18025-1014	7707
24961	7590 01/07/2002			
HELLER EI	HRMAN WHITE & M	EXAMINER		
4250 EXECU 7TH FLOOR	-	BAHAR, MOJDEH		
LA JOLLA, O	LA JOLLA, CA 92037		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1617	4
			DATE MAILED: 01/07/2002	-1

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>		1 4		A		
		Application N	No.	Applicant(s)		
		09/887,496		BANERJEE ET AL.		
	Offic Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit		
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·		Mojdeh Baha		1617		
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 1 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status						
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on	·				
2a)□	This action is FINAL . 2b) Th	nis action is no	n-final.			
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-121 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) 1-121 are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application	on Papers					
٦ [[9	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) □ accepted or b) □ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
	 Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 					
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 						
14)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.						
Attachment(s)						
2) Notice	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) _	5)		(PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-83, 87-89, 99-112 and 117-119, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, kit and an article of manufacture comprising formoterol or a derivative thereof and an steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, classified in class 424, subclass 43, class 514, subclasses 653, 169, 180, 181, for example.
- II. Claims 84-86 and 94-98, drawn to a method of treating a bronchoconstrictive disorder employing a pharmaceutical composition comprising formoterol or a derivative thereof and an steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, classified in class 514, subclasses 653, 169, 180, 181, for example.
- III. Claims 93, 113-116 and 120-121, drawn to a pharmaceutical composition, comprising formoterol or a derivative thereof, an steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, and at least one more active agent classified in class 424, subclass 43, class 514, subclasses 653, 169, 180, 181, 649, 555, 415, 311, 456, 365 for example.
- IV. Claims 90-92, drawn to a method of treating a bronchoconstrictive disorder employing a pharmaceutical composition comprising formoterol or a derivative thereof, an steroidal anti-inflammatory agent, and at least one more active agent, classified in class 514, subclasses 653, 169, 180, 181, 649, 555, 415, 311, 456, 365 for example.

Inventions I and II as well as III and IV are related as product and process of use. The inventions can be shown to be distinct if either or both of the following can be shown: (1) the

Art Unit: 1617

process for using the product as claimed can be practiced with another materially different product or (2) the product as claimed can be used in a materially different process of using that product (MPEP § 806.05(h)). In the instant case bronchoconstrive disorder can be treated by using a materially different product such as theophylline.

Inventions I-II and III-IV are unrelated. Inventions are unrelated if it can be shown that they are not disclosed as capable of use together and they have different modes of operation, different functions, or different effects (MPEP § 806.04, MPEP § 808.01). In the instant case the different inventions have different modes of operation.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and have acquired a separate status in the art as shown by their different classification, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

A telephone call was made to Dale Reiger on November 11, 2001 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Specie Election

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

- (a) formoterol derivatives, class 514, subclass 653+
- (b) steroidal anti-inflammatory agents, class 514, subclasses 169, 180, 181, for example.
- (c) another active ingredient chosen from (a)-(j) in claim 90, class 514, subclasses 311, 365, 415, 456, 555 and 649, for example.



Art Unit: 1617

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, 1-121 are generic.

If applicant elects Group I or II, applicant is required to choose a single combination composition (e.g., formoterol and budesonide). If applicant elects Group III or IV, applicant is required to choose a single combination composition (i.e., formoterol, budesonide and a specific compound elected from one of the classes of compounds (a)-(j) in claim 90, e.g., albuterol as a single beta-adrenoreceptor agonist).

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Mojdeh Bahar whose telephone number is (703) 305-1007. The examiner can normally be reached on (703) 305-1007 from Monday to Friday from 9:00 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Minna Moezie, J.D., can be reached on (703) 308-4612. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 308-4556.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1235.

Mojdeh Bahar Patent Examiner December 30, 2001

MINNA MOEZIE, J.D.

IPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600